

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/991,415	11/16/2001	Anjur Sundaresan Krishnakumar	15-5	4144	
7590 04/01/2005 Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			EXAMINER		
			HSU, ALPUS		
90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley, NY 11560				PAPER NUMBER	
			2665		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



-		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/991,41	09/991,415 KRISHNAKUMAR E		ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alpus H. H	su .	2665				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	October 2004	<u>!</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-26 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) 8-12 and 22-26 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 15-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6,7,20 and 21 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from con						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🔲	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been iority documer au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment	c(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 · No(s)/Mail Date	-,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		-152)			

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by CALDARA et al. in U. S. Patent no. 5,872,769.

Referring to claim 1, by broadly interpreting each queue in CALDARA et al. as the claimed linked list, CALDARA et al. discloses a contention-based communications network (ATM Network) in which multiple linked-list chains of data packets (Figures 5, 6 and 11) transmitted by communications stations (not shown) supported by said network at a particular point in time are not always thereafter joined into a single linked-list chain (see col. 3, lines 17-22, 44-51, col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 7, col. 8, line 65 to col. 9, line 7, col. 12, lines 11-14, 31-32, 36-42, 52-61, col. 13, lines 41-43).

Referring to claim 2, by broadly interpreting each queue in CALDARA et al. as the claimed linked list, CALDARA et al. discloses a contention-based communications network (ATM Network) in which first and second independent linked-list chains of data packets (Figures 5, 6 and 11) transmitted by communications stations (not shown), once formed, are allowed to continue to exist independently for an indeterminate amount of time (see col. 3, lines 17-22, 44-51, col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 7, col. 8, line 65 to col. 9, line 7, col. 12, lines 11-14, 31-32, 36-42, 52-61, col. 13, lines 41-43).

Referring to claim 3, CALDARA et al. discloses that the communications network includes a communications medium (PER LINK) and wherein the communications stations

Application/Control Number: 09/991,415

Art Unit: 2665

transmitting the data packets of said first and second independent linked-list chains repetitively access said medium (see col. 9, lines 8-21).

Referring to claim 4, CALDARA et al. discloses that the first and second independent linked-list chains of data packets are joined into a single linked-list chain only if the separation between them becomes less than a particular amount (see col. 14, lines 21-27).

Referring to claim 5, CALDARA et al. discloses that if the separation between said first and second independent linked-list chains becomes less than a particular amount, the separation between said first and second independent linked-list chains is caused to be increased (see col. 6, lines 48-53).

Referring to claims 15 and 16, CALDARA et al. discloses a method comprising the step of forming multiple linked-list chains of data packets transmitted by communications stations in a contention-based communications network, said method characterized in that said multiple linked-list chains, after having been formed, are not always thereafter joined into a single linked-list chain, wherein the multiple linked-list chains are independent linked-list chains which, once formed, are allowed to continue to exist independently for an indeterminate amount of time (see col. 3, lines 17-22, 44-51, col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 7, col. 8, line 65 to col. 9, line 7, col. 12, lines 11-14, 31-32, 36-42, 52-61, col. 13, lines 41-43).

Referring to claim 17, CALDARA et al. discloses that the communications network includes a communications medium (PER LINK) and wherein the communications stations transmitting the data packets of said first and second independent linked-list chains repetitively access said medium (see col. 9, lines 8-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/991,415 Page 4

Art Unit: 2665

Referring to claim 18, CALDARA et al. discloses that the first and second independent linked-list chains of data packets are joined into a single linked-list chain only if the separation between them becomes less than a particular amount (see col. 14, lines 21-27).

Referring to claim 19, CALDARA et al. discloses that if the separation between said first and second independent linked-list chains becomes less than a particular amount, the separation between said first and second independent linked-list chains is caused to be increased (see col. 6, lines 48-53).

- 3. Claims 8-12, 22-26 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 6, 7, 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Catino, Farrell et al. and Kluge are cited to show the common feature of multiple linkedlist data structure similar to the claimed invention.

Shepard, Lee and Ruszczyk et al. are cited to show the common feature of contentionbased communications network similar to the claimed invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,415

Art Unit: 2665

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665

Jan 1. 200